

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Willow Run Condominium Homeowners Association, Inc.,	)	Civil Action No.: 4:16-cv-02706-RBH
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
UNC 23, LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (R & R) of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. *See* R & R, ECF No. 10. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court remand this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction to the Court of Common Pleas for Horry County, South Carolina. *Id.* at 1-2.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the R & R to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Neither party has filed objections to the R & R, and the time for doing so has expired.<sup>1</sup> In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199-200 (4th Cir. 1983).

---

<sup>1</sup> Objections were due by August 29, 2016. *See* ECF No. 10.

The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation’” (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note)).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error and therefore adopts and incorporates by reference the R & R [ECF No. 10] of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Court **REMANDS** this action to the Court of Common Pleas for Horry County, South Carolina, for further proceedings. The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to mail a certified copy of this Order and the R & R to the clerk of the Horry County Court of Common Pleas. The Court **DENIES AS MOOT** Defendant’s motion to consolidate [ECF No. 6].

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Florence, South Carolina  
August 30, 2016

s/ R. Bryan Harwell  
R. Bryan Harwell  
United States District Judge